MedImmune

Does Live Attenuated Influenza Vaccine Reduce All-Cause Acute Otitis Media in Children?

Terho Heikkinen, MD,¹ Stan L. Block, MD,² Seth L. Toback, MD,³ Wei Zheng, MS,³ Xionghua Wu, PhD,³ Christopher S. Ambrose, MD³ ¹Department of Pediatrics, Turku University Hospital, Turku, Finland; ²Kentucky Pediatric and Adult Research, Bardstown, KY, USA; ³MedImmune, Gaithersburg, MD, USA

Background

- Acute otitis media (AOM) is a frequent complication of viral infections, including influenza, in young children and occurs in 28%–67% of cultureconfirmed cases of influenza.^{1,2}
- · Live attenuated influenza vaccine (LAIV) has been shown to help protect against influenza-associated AOM compared with placebo or trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine (TIV) by preventing influenza illness.³
- LAIV efficacy against influenza-associated AOM was 85% compared with placebo and 54% compared with TIV.³
- In randomized clinical trials, the pneumococcal conjugate vaccine has been shown to reduce the annual incidence of all-cause AOM by 7.8% (95% CI: 5.4, 10.2) in children 3–42 months of age⁴ and by 6% (95% CI: -4, 16) in children 6–24 months of age.⁵
- Reductions in all-cause AOM shown by Fireman et al were similar during the influenza season (7.5% reduction) compared with the noninfluenza season (8.0% reduction).⁴
- The impact of LAIV from pooled randomized clinical trials on all-cause AOM has not been previously assessed.
- LAIV is approved for eligible children 2 years of age and older and is not approved for use in children younger than 24 months of age.

Objective

 To estimate the efficacy of LAIV against all-cause AOM in young children during the influenza season compared with placebo and TIV

Methods

- All-cause AOM incidence for the entire influenza season was calculated for 6 randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials in children 6–83 months of age (LAIV, n=8037; placebo, n=5602)⁶⁻¹³ and 2 randomized, double-blind, TIV-controlled trials in children 6-71 months of age (LAIV, n=4949; TIV, n=4955).14,15
- 4 placebo-controlled studies were 2-year studies; others were conducted over a single influenza season (Table 1).

Table 1. LAIV Studies Measuring Efficacy Against AOM as a PrespecifiedSecondary Endpoint							
Study Number	Age Range, mo	LAIV, n	Control, n	Location			
Placebo-controlled studies							
Study 1, Year 1	12–35	1649	1105	Asia*			
Study 1, Year 2	24–47	770	494	Asia*			
Study 2, Year 1	6–35	951	664	Europe [†]			
Study 2, Year 2	18–47	639	450	Europe [†]			
Study 3, Year 1	6–35	944	941	Multinational [‡]			
Study 3, Year 2	18–47	338	342	Multinational [‡]			
Study 4	6–35	521	515	Asia§			
Study 5	11–23	624	312	Multinational [∥]			
Study 6, Year 1	15–71	854	417	US			
Study 6, Year 2	27–83	747	362	US			
TIV-controlled studi	ies						
Study 7	6–71	1048	1034	Europe ¹			
Study 8	6–59	3900	3919	Multinational [#]			
	1 4 15 7 11 11 11 11 11 11						

AOM=acute otitis media; LAIV=live attenuated influenza vaccine; TIV=trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine. *China, Hong Kong, India, Malaysia, Philippines, Singapore, Taiwan, Thailand [†]Belgium, Finland, Israel, Spain, United Kingdom.

[‡]Argentina, Brazil, South Africa.

§Philippines, Thailand.

Bangladesh, Belgium, Finland, Germany, Hong Kong, Lithuania, Malaysia, Mexico, Philippines, Poland, Singapore, South Korea, Thailand.

¹Belgium, Czech Republic, Finland, Germany, Israel, Italy, Poland, Spain, Switzerland, United Kingdom *Asia, Europe, Middle East, United States.

- In 5 of the 6 placebo-controlled studies (studies 1-5), AOM was defined clinically by the presence of an abnormal tympanic membrane (regarding color, position, and/or mobility) suggesting effusion in the middle ear cavity, with signs/symptoms consistent with acute infection (fever [≥38°C rectal or oral, or \geq 37.5°C axillary], ear ache, irritability, diarrhea, vomiting, acute otorrhea not caused by external otitis, or other symptoms of respiratory infection).
- Study 6 defined otitis media as a clinical diagnosis made by a healthcare provider without further criteria.
- For TIV controlled studies (studies 7 and 8), AOM was defined as a healthcare provider diagnosis of AOM concurrent with fever.
- A new episode of AOM was considered to occur when ≥30 days had elapsed since the previous AOM episode, regardless of etiology.

- Only AOM episodes occurring during the influenza season specific to the • In year 2 of placebo-controlled studies, the available sample size was significantly reduced. The pooled efficacy of LAIV in children study country were assessed. 18-83 months of age in year 2 was 6.2% (95% CI: -12.4, 21.7; Table 2).
- · The influenza season was assigned based on the weekly number of episodes of culture-confirmed influenza.
- AOM rates were calculated using the number of AOM cases as defined above divided by total surveillance time within influenza seasons for each treatment group. Efficacy was calculated as 1 minus the hazard ratio (HR), where the HR and 95% CI were obtained from the Anderson-Gill model, with treatment as the only effect.
- Data were pooled for efficacy analyses; efficacy for year 1 and year 2 were analyzed separately.

Results

vear 1 (Table 2).

Table 2. LAIV Efficacy Against All-Cause AOM in Placebo-Controlled and

TIV-Controlled Studies							
Study Number	LAIV, n/N (%)	Control, n/N (%)	Vaccine Efficacy (95% CI)	Mean Surveillance Period, wk			
Placebo-controlled studies							
Study 1, Year 1	61/1649 (3.7)	41/1105 (3.7)	1.6 (-58.7, 39.0)	33			
Study 1, Year 2	16/770 (2.1)	12/494 (2.4)	13.4 (–91.1, 60.8)	26			
Study 2, Year 1	274/951 (28.8)	199/664 (30.0)	4.5 (-14.5, 20.3)	15			
Study 2, Year 2	90/639 (14.1)	60/450 (13.3)	-6.1 (-49.7, 24.7)	13			
Study 3, Year 1	190/944 (20.1)	233/941 (24.8)	19.3 (-0.4, 35.1)	18			
Study 3, Year 2	80/338 (23.7)	81/342 (23.7)	-0.1 (-41.9, 29.4)	20			
Study 4	23/521 (4.4)	33/515 (6.4)	31.5 (-26.7, 62.9)	23			
Study 5	45/624 (7.2)	35/312 (11.2)	37.0 (-1.0, 60.7)	9			
Study 6, Year 1	265/854 (31.0)	160/417 (38.4)	20.0 (0.6, 35.6)	17			
Study 6, Year 2	143/747 (19.1)	84/362 (23.2)	18.3 (-8.6, 38.6)	14			
Year 1 pooled data	858/5543 (15.5)	701/3954 (17.7)	12.4 (2.0, 21.6)	21			
Year 2 pooled data	329/2494 (13.2)	237/1648 (14.4)	6.2 (–12.4, 21.7)	18			
TIV-controlled studies	;						
Study 7	50/1048 (4.8)	50/1034 (4.8)	1.8 (-47.7, 34.7)	8			
Study 8	503/3900 (12.9)	558/3919 (14.2)	10.3 (-2.0, 21.2)	16			
Pooled data	553/4948 (11.2)	608/4953 (12.3)	9.7 (-2.1, 20.1)	14			
AOM=acute otitis media; LAIV=live attenuated influenza vaccine; TIV=trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine.							

 Compared with placebo, the pooled efficacy of LAIV in children 6–71 months of age against all-cause AOM was 12.4% (95% CI; 2.0, 21.6) in

- Compared with TIV, the pooled efficacy of LAIV in children 6–71 months of age against all-cause AOM was 9.7% (955 Cl: -2.1, 20.1); Table 2).
- Similar trends of efficacy were observed in the subgroups of children 6-23 and ≥24 months of age, although none were statistically significant owing to the smaller sample size.
- By region, efficacy in all ages vs placebo was 20.0% (95% CI: 0.6, 35.6) and 32.6% (95% CI: 6.1, 51.7) in year 1 in the United States and South America, respectively; no statistically significant efficacy was seen in other regions in years 1 or 2.
- For TIV-controlled studies, the efficacy against all-cause AOM in all ages was 15.5% (95% CI: 0.3, 28.4) in the United States; no statistically significant efficacy was seen in other regions.

Conclusions

 Among children 6–71 months of age, LAIV reduced the incidence of all-cause AOM during the influenza season compared with placebo.

References

- 1. Heikkinen T, et al. Am J Dis Child. 1991;145:445-448.
- 2. Poehling KA, et al. N Engl J Med. 2006;355:31-40.
- 3. Block SL, et al. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2011;30:203-207.
- 4. Fireman B, et al. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2003;22:10-16.
- 5. Eskola J. et al. N Engl J Med. 2001:344:403-409.
- 6. Ashkenazi S, et al. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2006;25:870-879.
- 7. Belshe RB, et al. J Pediatr. 2000;136:168-175.
- 8. Belshe RB, et al. N Engl J Med. 1998;338:1405-1412.
- 9. Bracco Neto H, et al. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2009;28:365-371. 10. Forrest BD, et al. Clin Vaccine Immunol. 2008;15:1042-1053.
- 11. Lum LC, et al. Vaccine. 2010;28:1566-1574.
- 12. Tam JS, et al. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2007;26:619-628.
- 13. Vesikari T, et al. Pediatrics. 2006;118:2298-2312.
- 14. Belshe RB, et al. N Engl J Med. 2007;356:685-696.
- 15. Fleming DM, et al. Pediatr Infect Dis J. 2006;25:860-869.