Changing the Process for Strategic Communications Management at HHS

**Background:** As communication science has evolved to leverage digital channels to reach our American citizens with relevancy in the moment, the Office of the Assistant Secretary for Public Affairs (ASPA) in the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services has implemented a process innovation that has dramatically improved the way we plan, implement, and evaluate our communications.

**Evaluation Methods and Results:** To achieve deep and lasting cultural change, ASPA employed evidence-based principles of both organizational change and communications science. The new process is highly innovative in at least three aspects: (1) it focuses attention on outcomes achieved with a communication product rather than how it is created; (2) it promotes involvement of a wider range of institutional partners in the planning process; and (3) it employs an online technology platform for coordinating the process. ASPA took a co-creative approach to the new process design, ensuring both buy-in and a well-designed fit to the way program teams and public affairs offices worked. As a result, adoption by our communications professionals has been high, with over 500 participants after a year of use. Just as telling is the communication products that have been eliminated as a result of the process, a reduction of more than 50% in projects that require ASPA review, as teams used data to focus on the products with the most impact.

**Strategic Communications Planning (SCP) Vision:**

To deliver audience-driven content with measurable outcomes that demonstrate impact on the health and well-being of the American public.

**DOES YOUR COMMUNICATION PRODUCT REQUIRE DEPARTMENT REVIEW?**

- Is it Newsworthy?
- Does it contain New Information?
- Is the subject/content Controversial?
- Is it a Public Education Campaign?

**Change Motivators:**

- **Vision** – Mission-driven individuals are motivated by proof of increased impact
- **Skills** – Science-based yet simple and replicable methodology tied to HHS strategic goals and objectives
- **Choice** – Flexibility in how SCP is implemented ensured easy integration into their workflow

**Change Inhibitors:**

- **Vision** – Risk adverse and siloed culture slowed implementation of change
- **Skills** – Measurement of impact is not straightforward and digital evaluation methodology is still nascent
- **Choice** – Policy-driven culture removes flexibility to use more creative approaches

**Measuring Our Progress**

**Number of SCPs Reviewed per Month (May 2014 - July 2016)**

- Before May 2014:
  - 80 paper forms reviewed per month
  - Plans focus on content tactics rather than strategic message
  - Collaboration was inconsistent and labor intensive
  - Only the biggest campaigns had strategic goals and impact measures

**As of July 31, 2016**

- Number of SCPs: 768
- Percent of SCPs that are Internal Review Only: 27%
- Percent of SCPs with HHS Collaborators: 62%
- Percent of SCPs with External Collaborators: 37%
- Percent of SCPs with more than 1 Goal: 64%
- Number of SCPs evaluated: 22
- Number of SCP Users: 549
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