Using Audience Polling Data from Clinician STD Courses to Guide Educational Initiatives



for Generalists and Specialists

Rebecca Patterson^{1,2,} Janine Dyer¹, Katherine Hsu¹

1. Sylvie Ratelle STD/HIV Prevention Training Center of New England, Jamaica Plain, MA 2. Boston University School of Public Health, Boston, MA

BOSTON UNIVERSITY SCHOOL of Public Health

Background

- The Sylvie Ratelle STD/HIV Prevention Training Center (PTC) is a Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)-funded national training center which targets New England clinicians who diagnose and treat sexually transmitted diseases (STDs)
- In 2010, Turning Technologies polling software and audience response system (ARS) was integrated into educational lectures
- Data collected from the ARS may provide useful information about audience demographics, knowledge and practice
- ARS might not only be useful for real-time audience engagement, but also it may be useful as a needs assessment tool for determining training topics for future audiences





- · CDC provides scientific, current, evidence-based information on the diagnosis, management and treatment of STDs in the form of treatment guidelines, updated every four years
- Ratelle PTC trains clinicians according to these guidelines

Objective

• To analyze audience response system data to identify hypotheses to inform future training efforts of the Ratelle PTC

Methods

- Turning Technologies TurningPoint Audience Response System was used to examine knowledge and practice of clinicians attending educational lectures, from 2010-2012
- Two lecture topics were selected for analysis: •"Highlights from the 2010 STD Treatment Guidelines" given to generalists: General Pediatricians, Family Practice Programs, Advanced Practice Nurses and Emergency Medicine Doctors •"Management of Sexually Transmitted Infections in HIV-Infected and At-Risk Patients" given to audiences of Infectious Disease Specialists
- · Responses from these lectures were analyzed for general themes by looking at the responses from the entire audience as well as divided by provider specialty
- Microsoft® Office Excel 2003 was used for all analyses

HYPOTHESIS 1:
Background: A young woman presents with a positive Herpes test with no prior symptoms
only one uninfected sex partner. This table presents proportions of generalists that wanted

Results:

Highlights from the 2010 STD Treatment Guidelines

• B and d to 0 know her race/ethnicity.

	General Pediatricians (n=22)	Family Practice Programs (n=33)	APRN-NP (n=17)	Emergency Doctors (n=14)
9%	5%	15%	6%	7%

 A small proportion of generalists take race/ethnicity into account when interpreting results of a disease that disproportionately affects non-white patients.

HYPOTHESIS 2:

 Background: Generalists were asked the cause of the positive test result (above). This table presents the proportions of generalists that correctly attributed it to a FALSE POSITIVE

Total (n=72)	General Pediatricians (n=22)	Family Practice Programs (n=33)	APRN-NP (n=17)
7%	5%	9%	6%

 A small proportion of generalists consider the possibility of a test result being a false positive.

HYPOTHESIS 3:

Background: Generalists were asked about their use of Expedited Partner Therapy (EPT) when treating partners of patients infected with chlamydia. This table presents the proportions of generalists that either give a patient medication or a prescription for a partner.

Total (n=132)	General Pediatricians (n=97)	Emergency Doctors (n=35)	
22%	26%	12%	

• A small proportion of generalists use Expedited Partner Therapy.

Conclusions

- Use of Audience Response System data can be a valuable tool in assessing real time audience training needs
- · Faculty can tailor educational lectures based on information learned at the time of presentation
- Hypotheses described above can identify trends, practice limitations (e.g. lack of access to
- certain tests and implications for clinical practice), and knowledge gaps, and can inform future training efforts of the PTC
- This project was the first opportunity for review and analysis of a large volume of stored ARS data from past presentations
- Demographic variables (e.g. practice setting and years in practice) can be collected in the future from audiences, for more in-depth analysis

Results:

Management of Sexually Transmitted Infections in HIV-Infected and At-Risk Patients

HYPOTHESIS 1:

- · Background: From 2008-2009, only 19% of infectious disease specialists saw 6+ infectious syphilis cases/year. From 2010-2011, this increased to 45%.
- The proportion of infectious disease specialists seeing 6+ infectious syphilis cases/year will increase.

HYPOTHESIS 2:

- Background: In 2011, only 12% of infectious disease specialists used a Syphilis EIA test (a newer blood test that looks for antibodies to syphilis-causing bacteria). In 2012, 23% reported using an EIA test.
- The proportion of infectious disease specialists using a newer blood test to screen for syphilis will increase.

HYPOTHESIS 3:

- Background: In 2011, only 24% of infectious disease specialists had access to a rectal/pharyngeal NAAT (a more sensitive screening test) for gonorrhea/chlamydia. In 2012, 34% reported having access.
- The proportion of infectious disease specialists with access to a more sensitive screening test for gonorrhea/chlamydia will increase.

HYPOTHESIS 4:

- Background: In 2012, 36% of infectious disease specialists reported counseling the patient on the need to self-refer their partner as a way of treating partners of chlamydia patients.
- Counseling patients to self-refer partners is the most common way infectious disease specialists treat partners of chlamydia patients.

Limitations

- The primary purpose of using ARS technology is to engage the audience, so the information was not collected initially for analysis
- Therefore, interpretation of these results was limited due to the differences of questions across topics and small sample sizes
- The results reported are for hypothesis generation purposes only

Acknowledgments

- · Ratelle PTC staff for their assistance on this project
- Massachusetts Department of Public Health Local Health Internship Program for support for this project

Contact Information: Janine Dyer, MPH, Janine.Dyer@state.ma.us