

How does Partner Services contribute to the diagnosis of STDs in Philadelphia?

Kristine Arrieta, Cherie Walker-Baban, Greta Anschuetz

(1) Philadelphia Department of Public Health Division of Disease Control, Philadelphia, PA

BACKGROUND

- · Contact tracing including locating interviewing and ensuring treatment of sex partners of persons with a sexually transmitted disease (STD), has historically been regarded as an important control measure for syphilis.
- In many jurisdictions the syphilis-HIV co-infection rate is greater than 50%.
- · Partner services takes a broader view than contact tracing and includes, partner notification, counseling, testing for STDs and HIV, treatment and referral for services.
- · With a broader view of partner services, the benefits may be greater than contact tracing and/or treatment for one single infection.

METHODS

- All individuals named as a sexual contact on any case receiving partner services interviews, where at least one diagnosis was syphilis OR HIV were extracted from the local data system.
- Co-morbidities for the Original Patient (OP) were grouped by:

••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••			
Single Diagnoses Syphilis Only	HIV	117	1
• HIV Only			
Co-morbidities	Syphilis/HIV	137	19
Syphilis AND HIV			
Syphilis AND Gonorrhea (GC)	Cumbilia/OT	00	
Syphilis AND Chlamydia (CT)	Syphilis/Ci	20	2
HIV and GC			
HIV and CT	Syphilis/GC	19	3
Tri-morbidities			
Syphilis AND HIV AND CT	HIV/CT	2	-
Syphilis AND HIV and GC		2	
Syphilis AND CT and GC			
HIV AND CT and GC	HIV/GC	78	5
IV diagnoses represent both new and long standing infections			
ach contact with medical follow-up was systematically reviewed using original atient interview and known information from the local data system to ascertain	Syphilis/HIV/CT	17	2

- ۰H
- ۰Ea the number of new HIV. syphilis. GC and chlamydia infections detected through partner services.
- · Included contacts diagnosed with new infections
- · Summary data statistics were calculated using SAS 9.3 (Cary, NC).

OBJECTIVES

- · To determine the utility of partner services for the detection both expected and unexpected STD diagnoses
- · Number of new syphilis cases diagnosed
- Number of new HIV cases identified
- · All GC diagnoses
- Chlamydial diagnoses

RESULTS

- · Of 400 cases with a syphilis diagnosis in the first 6 months of 2016, only 44% had only syphilis (Table 1)
- · Of 225 with >1 other infection, HIV accounted for the majority of the comorbidities (N=137:61%)
- · Of the remaining 226 cases that did not have a syphilis diagnosis and were offered partner services for their HIV infection, 48% (N=109) had >1 other diagnosis

Table 1: Diagnoses among Partner Services cases, Jan - June 2016

Infection(s)	# Cases	# Named Partners	Contact Index
Syphilis	175	268	1.53
HIV	117	150	1.28
Syphilis/HIV	137	193	1.41
Syphilis/CT	20	24	1.20
Syphilis/GC	19	32	1.68
HIV/CT	2	11	5.50
HIV/GC	78	54	0.69
Syphilis/HIV/CT	17	22	1.29
Syphilis/HIV/GC	16	28	1.75
Syphilis/GC/CT	11	19	1.73
HIV/GC/CT	28	31	1.11
Syphilis/HIV/GC/CT	5	6	1.20
TOTAL	625	838	1.34

Table 3: New Infections Diagnosed among Named Contacts, Jan - June 2016

	Named Contacts At Risk	New Infections Diagnosed	
Syphilis	600	44	7%
HIV	495	10	2%
Gonorrhea	170	18	11%
Chlamydia	113	7	6%

· In addition, 2 cases of Chlamydia and 5 cases of Gonorrhea were diagnosed but the OP did not have those diagnoses.

- · Also, 202 named contacts were preventatively treated for exposure to syphilis
- Of 225 Cases NOT exposed to HIV, 9 subsequent named contacts were positive for HIV

Figure 1: PrEP status among Named Contacts, Jan - June 2016



DISCUSSION / CONCLUSION

- Partner Services is able to find higher risk individuals at-risk for having undiagnosed infections
- · Evaluation of Partner Services is complicated as many individuals have multiple infections
- · Further work is needed to understand:
 - · Are DIS properly documenting exposures to the OP after discovering the P1 contact has a different infection?
 - · How many "referred" to PrEP actually initiate PrEP